



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 09/819,014 03/28/2001 | | Jung-Wan Ko | 1293.1188 | 9741 | | |
| 49455 | 7590 04/10/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| • | EWEN & BUI, LLP | CHEVALIER | CHEVALIER, ROBERT | | | |
| 1400 EYE ST SUITE 300 | REEI, NW | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| WASHINGTO | ON, DC 20005 | 2621 | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/819,014 KO ET | | KO ET AL. | ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Bob Cheva | lier | 2621 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply | communication app | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the refully - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than three armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR | A THE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. naximum statutory period w iod for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing | ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever vill apply and will , cause the appli | S COMMUNICATION at, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communicati | on(s) filed on 18 Ja | anuary 2006 | | | | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . | · | | | | | | | | |
| <u>'</u> | ,— | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | · | • | • | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-49</u> is/are pendin | in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>26-35 and 44-49</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | | |
| <u>'_</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| ·· _ | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ijected to by the Ex | ammer. No | e the attached Office | Action or form P | 10-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | l Stage | | | | |
| — • | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 33 33 p. 33 | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing | | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | O.152\ | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date | U-1449 of PTO/SB/08) | | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/819,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-17, 19-25, and 36-42, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 9-17, 19-25, 36-42, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maruyama et al in view of Official Notice.

Maruyama et al discloses an information recording/reproducing apparatus that shows substantially the same limitations recited in claims 9, and 36, including the feature of the video region which stores moving picture data and audio data (See the video object having video data and audio data shown in Maruyama et al's Figure 11, and further, see Maruyama et al's Figure 19, components 53-54, and 56, where it is shown that the video and the audio data are encoded before recorded), and the feature of the predetermined region which

stores reproducing management information for reproducing the moving picture data and/or audio data as specified in the present claims 9, and 36. (See Maruyama et al's Figure 3, control information DA21).

Maruyama et al fails to specifically disclose the feature of encrypting both the video data and the audio data and recording/reproducing the same on and from the recording medium as specified in the present claims 9, 16, and 36.

Examiner takes Official Notice in that it is notoriously well known in the video/audio recording/reproducing art to have encryptors arranged in a manner to encrypt both the video data and the audio data before recording the same on the recording medium and the capability of reproducing the encrypted video/audio data from the recording medium at reproduction operation as specified in the present claims 9, 16, and 36.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the Maruyama et al's apparatus wherein the recording/reproducing means provided thereof would incorporate the capability of encryptors arranged in a manner to encrypt both the video data and the audio data before recording the same on the recording medium and the capability of reproducing both the encrypted video data and the encrypted audio data from the recording medium in the same conventional manner as is well known in the prior art. Examiner has taken Official Notice. The motivation is to prevent unauthorized reproduction of the recorded data from the recording medium as suggested in the prior art.

With regard to claims 10-11, 19-20, and 37-38, the feature of encrypting the audio data with method complying with a DVD-video/audio specification as specified thereof is present in the proposed combination of Maruyama et al, and Official Notice indicated above. (See Maruyama et al's Figure 19, components 53-54, and 56).

With regard to claims 12, 21, 39, the feature of the management information being stored in the video region as specified thereof is present in the proposed combination of Maruyama et al and Official Notice indicated above. (See Maruyama et al's Figure 3, component DA21).

With regard to claims 13-15, 22-25, 40-42, the feature of management information and additional information being stored in the program chain information region of the video/audio region or in the audio title set information of the audio region as specified thereof is present in the proposed combination of Maruyama et

Application/Control Number: 09/819,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

al and Official Notice indicated above. (See Maruyama et al's Figure 25, components 1011, 1024, 1103, and 1108).

With regard to claim 17, the feature of encoding the video/audio information before encrypting the same as specified thereof would be present in the proposed combination of Maruyama et al. (See the capability encoding the video/audio data as specified in Maruyama et al's apparatus).

- 5. Claims 18, 43, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 26-35, 44-49 contain allowable subject matter over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bob Chevalier whose telephone number is 571-272-7374. The examiner can normally be reached on MM-F (9:00-6:30), second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,014 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. Chevalier April 5, 2006.